

Section 1. Road Map – General information on the proposal

Marie Curie action-code: International Research Staff Exchange Scheme (IRSES)	Call identifier: FP7-PEOPLE-2010-IRSES
---	--

PROPOSAL TITLE	Title Commercial Local Urban District Programme	Acronym CLUDs	Proposal number 269142
DURATION IN MONTHS	36		
KEYWORDS	Urban economics, Urban sustainable cities and rational resource management, Business support services.		
ABSTRACT	<p>The proposal is based on a research project integrated with a higher education agenda finalized to explore a new approach in delivering and implementing integrated urban policies and programmes. The proposal intends to explore the potential of a new tool for renovating degraded public spaces and improving the attractiveness and accessibility of deprived urban areas by focusing on the high potential of local commercial activities. The exploration is oriented to the implementation of an innovative tools: CLUDs, Commercial Local Urban Districts, aimed at emphasizing the strategic role of small retails -handcraft and typical food- in reinforcing the sense of community, reducing transportation costs and contributing to the creation of attractive urban environment, thus producing increase of private investments. The CLUDs are designated areas, reflecting the rationale of Economic Opportunity Zones. In particular, the thematic specification of the CLUDs is related to local small retail associations that can be occurred on typical local production. The implementation of the programme is based on a networking of four EU universities (Reggio Calabria, Ulster, Uppsala, Rome) and two USA universities (Boston and San Diego), which all hold the leading positions in planning education, training and research in their countries. Partners will exchange staff members, early stage and Experienced researchers, around yearly seminars/meetings, workshops, and a final international symposium.</p> <p>The core of this network activity regards:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Joint research and training activities on two main topics - public/private partnership (PPP) and urban regeneration; 2. an international symposium on PPP in urban regeneration process. 		
EUROPEAN PARTNERSHIP	Contact name	Organization/Institution	
	Carmelina Bevilacqua	DSAT – University of Reggio Calabria (Coordinator)	
	Christer Bengs	SLU - Sveriges lantbruksuniversitet (Uppsala - Sweden)	
	Manuela Ricci	FOCUS – University of Rome “La Sapienza”	
USA PARTNERSHIP	Contact name	Organization/Institution	
	Mounah Abdel Samad	SDU – San Diego State University (California)	
	Andy Sum	NEUSUP – Northeastern University of Boston (MA)	
FINAL RESULT	The expected result is to bring together an international and interdisciplinary group of research team for the purpose of forming an excellent centre of synergy in research and innovation transfer in the field of PPP applied to urban regeneration actions and policies.		
GENERAL RULE OF IRSES PROGRAMME	What is IRSES? The Marie Curie International Research Staff Exchange Scheme aims at strengthening research partnerships through short period staff exchanges and networking activities between European research organisations and research organisations from countries with which the Community has an S&T agreement or are in the process of negotiating one, and countries covered by the European Neighbourhood Policy (ENP).		
	How does it work? Proposals are submitted within the specified deadlines, and are evaluated by external independent experts against a series of predetermined criteria. A staff exchange programme can apply for Community support for a period of 24-48 months. The duration of exchanges for each researcher or technical/management staff will be for a maximum of 12 months.		

	<p>What does the funding cover? For each member of staff from an EU Member State or Associated country seconded to a participant organisation from an eligible <i>Third Country</i>, the Community will pay a fixed Community contribution of € 2100 per researcher and per month (a flat rate, which is intended to cover or contribute to the researcher's subsistence and travel costs, as well as to management costs, overheads and costs related to organisation of workshops and conferences)</p>				
GRANT AGREEMENT	The <i>grant agreement</i> will be concluded with the <i>beneficiaries</i> located in the EU Member States (MS) or countries associated to FP7 (AC), while the other members of the partnership are defined as <i>participant organisations</i> .				
MIXED ADJUSTMENT REQUEST					
FINANCIAL REQUEST	Beneficiary/Participant org. Short Name	Staff to be exchanged (Total Number of -researcher-months)	Monthly exchange allowance	Sub Total	Requested EC contribution
	DSAT	104	2.100	218.400	218.400
	UK	68	2.100	142.800	142.800
	SLU	64	2.100	134.400	134.400
	NEUSUP	20			
	SDSU	20			
	FOCUS	68	2.100	142.800	142.800
	Total amount request				638.400

Section 2. Road Map – Work Packages of the proposal to be implemented

Current phase Negotiation	Internal document	
-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--

	Lead partner	Description	Start	Where in USA
WP 1 URBAN MANAGEMENT TOOL	DSAT	<p>Set up an analytical process to understand how Public Private Partnership can be both marketable and social sustainable by highlight integrated approach related to Credit access, local resources promotion, job creation, social activation.</p> <p>The training and resaerch activities will focus on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PPP rules with respect land use 2. Economic and financial indicators to self sustain urban district 3. Building Capacity of Non-profit Organizations in Urban Neighborhoods 4. Labor Market in Urban Neighborhoods 5. Business Start up in Urban Neighborhoods 	October 2011 – March 2012	<p>Trainings will be given by Northeastern University on urban management tool</p> <p>Task 1.2: the kickoff meeting among all partners to share methodological approaches in urban regeneration matter, emphasizing the economic and social aspects will be held at Northeastern University (NEUSUP);</p> <p>The midterm meeting among all partners to defines a midterm evaluation report to upgrade the research projects and to define the guidelines for the final workshop will be held in EU;</p> <p>A workshop on ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIES with respect public/private partnerships; Neighborhood-Based Economic Development; Community Development Financing will be held at the end of the WP1 in Boston.</p>

	Lead partner	Description	Start	Where in USA
WP 2 TERRITORIAL MILIEU	UK	<p>Set up an analytical process to understand how a territorial milieu can reinforce local urban regeneration initiatives.</p> <p>The training and research activities will focus on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Strategic planning 2. Community planning 3. Urban regeneration policy 4. Urban Rural rationale (Local Production for local Consumption). 5. Local Action Plan construction 6. Local Stakeholder involvement 7. Local/territorial Enterprise involvement 		<p>Trainings will be given by San Diego State University on strategic planning and community planning with particular attention to urban rural rationale.</p> <p>The second meeting among all partners will be held in Europe finalized and will be focused on selected themes of the research. Activities to involve local stakeholders.</p> <p>The workshop on “The CLUDs Action Plan and the cluster urban area ” will be held US.</p>

	Lead partner	Description	Start	Where in USA
WP 3 CLUDS’ LOCAL ACTION PLAN	FOCUS	<p>Classifying levels of flows’ intensity from territorial production and local consumption that can be explained by CLUD rationale.</p> <p>The WP3 is characterized by the implementation of the CLUDs Action Plan to different urban areas with different peculiarities. The implementation aims at producing and implementing Action Plans for some</p>		<p>The training (Boston and San Diego) activities will deeply find out the link between strategic issues of urban regeneration process and physical organization and quality of the urban regeneration effects in specific urban areas.</p> <p>The midterm meeting is related to define a timetable to</p>

	<p>pilot areas, through the broader involvement of the local community and stakeholders, and at developing a toolkit of transferable good practices to orient urban development policies designed to support new and existing enterprises and public investments while renovating public spaces.</p>	<p>implement the action plan on CLUDs. The meeting will have also the role to disseminate the first results of the research. : The urban areas' cluster to experiment the local action plan</p>
--	--	---

Section 3. Road Map – Phases of the proposal to be implemented

Current phase Negotiation	Internal document	Deadline: 20-09-2010
-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------------

TIMETABLE OF NEGOTIATION	Deadline for the first version of Description Work	End of negotiation
	6/10/2010	3/11/2010
NEGOTIATION PURPOSE	The overall purpose of negotiations is to finalise the details of the work to be carried out under the grant agreement within the associated budget, as well as to establish the legal and financial information needed to establish the grant agreement.	
NEGOTIATION PROCESS	Technical (<i>scientific</i>) negotiations	Financial and legal negotiations
	The aim of the technical negotiations is to agree on the final content of Annex I (the Description of Work) of the Grant Agreement.	Financial negotiations focus mainly on reaching agreement on budgetary matters such as the budget for the project and the budget breakdown for the different project periods. They will also cover the establishment of the amount of the initial pre-financing and timing of project periods and reviews
DESCRIPTION OF WORK	The part B (submitted to the call) will be updated based upon the Evaluation Summary Report and the change that may occur after the call submission.	
COORDINATOR TASK (IN PROGRESS)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Updating the part B – description of work – based upon the evaluation report. 2. Collecting data from partners to be included in the change of description work 3. Negotiating 	
PARTNER TASK	Each European partner must exactly define the number and the names of researchers to be involved in the Secondments.	
USA PARTNER TASK	Each USA partner should define the way in which the European research (only for Experienced researchers (<10 years experience)) and Early Researcher can attend courses, master, PhD by considering the demand of each European university involved in the research.	

Section 4. Road Map – Implementation of the project – WP1 Urban Management tool

Current phase KICK OFF Meeting	Internal document	Deadline: 8 July 2011
--	--------------------------	---------------------------------

	4 July, 2011	5 July, 2011	6 July	7 July	8 July
TIMETABLE THE KICK OFF MEETING	OPEN DAYs CLUDs Project		First meeting: GANTT adjustment and distribution of the secondment to Boston and San Diego	Second meeting: choice of case studies in order to develop the research in US. Set up a first framework of comparative analysis across US and Europe.	Third meeting: Defining the operative plan including all decisions of previous meetings. Defining the principal issues to be discussed in the midterm meeting in Boston.
KICK OFF MEETING PURPOSE	<p>First meeting: GANTT adjustment and distribution of the secondment to Boston and San Diego <i>The goal of this first meeting is to redesign the secondment plan on the basis of the what NEUSEP and SDSU have planned to offer to phd students and experienced researchers coming from European university.</i></p> <p>Second meeting: choice of case studies in order to develop the research in US. Set up a first framework of comparative analysis across US and Europe. <i>The goal of this second meeting is to define two frameworks' analysis for case studies, one for Boston and one for San Diego. Moreover, it will be also decided at which potential European case studies they will be compared.</i></p> <p>Third meeting: Defining the operative plan including all decisions of previous meetings. Defining the principal issues to be discussed in the midterm meeting in Boston. <i>The goal of this third meeting is the Operative Plan that replaces the Document of Work (Annex I) at least for the first year of the research. Moreover, it will be scheduled the midterm meeting (people, date, etc..)</i></p>				
INCEPTION REPORT	<p>Introduction The Conference OPEN DAYs CLUDs Project The forthcoming proposals of involved stakeholders The secondment plan Work Packages Work plan and deliverables First midterm meeting Implementation Conclusions Annexes</p>				
DESCRIPTION OF WORK	<p>Organizational activities: VISA J1 procedure for secondment to Northeastern University. Research activities: Report: Commercial Local Urban Districts proceedings of the Conference OPEN DAYs CLUDs project</p>				
MIXED ADJUSTMENT	<p>Prof. Bengs put under discussion the possibility that the University of Uppsala would be replaced by the Aalto university, since in January he will move to the Aalto University.</p>				
TASK OF EUROPEAN PARTNERS	<p>Attending the J1 VISA procedure by sending all documents required by the International Office of the Northeastern University of Boston. Follow the secondment plan</p>				
TASK OF US PARTNERS	<p>Department of Economics of the Northeastern University of Boston Lead partner of WP1 will help EU researchers to find an adequate accommodation. The US partner will further organize a plan of courses to be attained during the period of secondment at the department of Economics. The Department of Economics of The Northeastern University has changed the local coordinator, prof. Andy Sum. The Local coordinator is prof. Alan W. Dyer.</p>				

Section 5. Road Map – Implementation of the project – WP1 Urban Management tool

Current phase First midterm meeting	Internal document	Deadline: 27 october 2011
---	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

	25 October, 2011	26 October, 2011	27 October 2011
TIMETABLE OF FIRST MIDTERM MEETING	Framework PPP for case studies	Selection Criteria Case studies Administrative aspects Plan for workshop in Reggio Plan for secondments to San Diego	Distribution Tasks Presentation of Early stage researcher
FIRST MIDTERM MEETING PURPOSE	<p>The first midterm meeting is therefore divided into three main sectors:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Research activities 2. Organizational activities 3. Administrative activities <p>Research activities: The overall purpose of the first midterm meeting is to specify the research activities within the WP1 about the definition of a set of explanatory variables of Public Private Partnership with respect both marketable and social sustainable features. In particular, the objective is to apply the general case studies methodology to investigate how the performance of PPP can vary toward the response of each case study to the variables supposed to explain how PPP works.</p> <p>Beside the settlement about how to develop WP1, the research activities focus also on how to define the first report with the contributions of all partners to the OPEN DAYS hold in Reggio. An hypothesis of main topics to define the structure of the report is:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. CLUDs and Local Economy (Dyer, Massimo, Parsa,) 2. CLUDs and institutional framework of governance (Monardo, 3. CLUDs and urban regeneration (Valentino, Bichard, Wassall,) 4. CLUDs and network systems (Russo, Caligiuri) <p>Organizational activities Secondments to San Diego State University (GANTT) Workshop in Reggio</p> <p>Administrative activities From the administrative point of view, the first midterm meeting is supposed to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Decide about mixed adjustment eventually occurred - Update partnership agreement due to mixed adjustment. 		
FIRST MIDTERM PROCESS (RESEARCH ACTIVITIES)	<p>Framework PPP for case studies</p> <p>Main features:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Marketable - Social sustainable 	<p>Selection Criteria studies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Analysis on field - Analysis on desk 	<p>Distribution Tasks</p> <p>Case Studies: Reggio Roma Salford (Aalto?)</p>
DESCRIPTION OF WORK	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Main Categories for "marketable" <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. b. 2. Main Categories for "social sustainable" <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <p>One organizing principle that was discussed was to use a description of the policy, leading to the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. tax increment financing 2. community development programs 3. business improvement districts 4. business incubators 5. urban-agricultural linkages 6. culture-based economic development 		

	<p>7. assistance/development by of non-profits 8. social enterprises 9. social impact bonds</p> <p>A second organizing principle discussed was to categorize case studies into the focus area they represented:</p> <p>1. tax policy focus 2. fiscal policy focus 3. economic development organizations 4. university focus 5. form of business organization or governance 6. recruitment</p>
<p>FIRST MIDTERM PROCESS (ORGANIZATIONAL ACTIVITIES)</p>	<p>Definition of the executive GANTT for secondments to San Diego State University – second midterm meeting contents.</p> <p>Draft program for the workshop in Reggio (april/may)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Main topics of the workshop - Title of the workshop
<p>FIRST MIDTERM PROCESS (ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIVITIES) MIXED ADJUSTMENT REQUEST</p>	<p>The Aalto request to replace Uppsala university in the CLUDs project. The procedure to be followed in order to replace the beneficiary "Uppsala":</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Formal amendment request from the coordinator (signed by the legal representative of the institution) and with signature of all partners that they agree with the change 2. Updated Annex I and Part B of the proposal reflecting the changes 3. Letter from the Swedish University that they agree to withdraw from the project 4. Letter from University in Helsinki that they agree to be a new partner in the project and that they will assure the same number of secondments as Sweden. <p>The project will be send for re-evaluated with all the consequences this might have.</p> <p>The request of updating partnership agreement from Aalto University:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No warranties <p>In respect of any information or materials (incl. foreground and background) supplied by one Party to another under the Project, no warranty or representation of any kind is made, given or implied as to the sufficiency or fitness for purpose nor as to the absence of any infringement of any proprietary rights of third parties. Therefore,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the recipient Party shall in all cases be entirely and solely liable for the use to which it puts such information and materials, and - no Party granting access rights shall be liable in case of infringement of proprietary rights of a third party resulting from any other Party (or its affiliates) exercising its access rights. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Limitations of contractual liability <p>No Party shall be responsible to any other Party for any indirect or consequential loss or similar damage such as, but not limited to, loss of profit, loss of revenue or loss of contracts, provided such damage was not caused by a wilful act or by a breach of confidentiality.</p> <p>A Party's aggregate liability towards the other Parties collectively shall be limited to once the Party's share of the total costs of the Project as identified in Annex I of the EC-GA provided such damage was not caused by a wilful act or gross negligence.</p> <p>The terms of this Partnership Agreement shall not be construed to amend or limit any Party's non-contractual liability.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Damage caused to third parties <p>Each Party shall be solely liable for any loss, damage or injury to third parties resulting from the performance of the said Party's obligations by it or on its behalf under this Partnership Agreement or from its use of foreground or background.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Foreground, Joint Ownership <p>In case of joint ownership, each of the joint owners shall be entitled to use the joint foreground as it sees fit, and to grant non-exclusive licenses, without obtaining any</p>

	<p>consent from, paying compensation to, or otherwise accounting to any other joint owner, unless otherwise agreed between the joint owners. The joint owners shall agree on all protection measures and the division of related cost in advance.</p> <p>5. Applicable law and settlement of disputes This Partnership Agreement shall be construed in accordance with and governed by the laws of Belgium. All disputes arising out of or in connection with this Partnership Agreement, which cannot be solved amicably, shall be finally settled under the Rules of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce by one or more arbitrators appointed in accordance with the said Rules. The place of arbitration shall be Brussels if not otherwise agreed by the conflicting Parties. The award of the arbitration will be final and binding upon the Parties. Nothing in this Partnership Agreement shall limit the Parties' right to seek injunctive relief or to enforce an arbitration award in any applicable competent court of law.</p>
TASK OF EUROPEAN PARTNERS	Case Study analysis in Boston
TASK OF US PARTNERS	Boston: Lead partner of WP1 San Diego: Supporting case study selection

Composition team – European secondment					
PAU	Nome	Typology of researcher	Months	Host Organization	Gantt rif.
	Prof. Enrico Costa	Experienced researcher	2	NEUSEP	ER5
			1	SDUP	
	Prof. Domenico Massimo	Experienced researcher	2	SDUP	ER7
			2	NEUSEP	
	Prof. Carmelina Bevilacqua	Experienced researcher	5	NEUSEP	ER4
			1	SDUP	
	Dott. Gabriella Esposito.	Experienced researcher	2	NEUSEP	ER6
			1	SDUP	
	Dott. Francesco Bonsinetto	Experienced researcher	6	NEUSEP	ER1
			6	SDUP	
	Dott. Luciano Zingali	Experienced researcher	6	NEUSEP	ER2
			6	SDUP	
	Dott. Jusy Calabrò	Early Stage Researcher	6	NEUSEP	ESR1
			6	SDUP	
	Dott. Anna Ferraiuolo	Early Stage Researcher	6	NEUSEP	ESR2
			6	SDUP	
	Dott. Carla Maione	Early Stage Researcher	6	NEUSEP	ESR3
			6	SDUP	
	Pasquale Pizzimenti	Early Stage Researcher	6	NEUSEP	ESR4
			6	SDUP	
	Dott. Giuseppe Caridi	Experienced researcher	6	SDUP	ER3
			4	NEUSEP	
	Dott. Domenico Cappellano	Manager (LEAR Universita Mediterranea Reggio Calabria)	1	NEUSEP	MANAG1
			1	SDUP	
	Dott. Stefania Raschellà	Technical Support	2	NEUSEP	TECHN1
			2	SDUP	
Total Months			104		

Seconded to be validate

UK	Nome	Typology of researcher	Months	Host Organization	Gantt rif.
	Prof. Ali Parsa	Experienced researcher	2	NEUSEP	ER9
			6	SDUP	
	Prof. Claudia Trillo	Experienced researcher	2	NEUSEP	ER8
			6	SDUP	
	Prof. Erik Bichard	Experienced researcher	2	NEUSEP	ER10
			6	SDUP	
	Yusuf Ariayici	Experienced Researcher	2	NEUSEP	ER11
			6	SDUP	
	Atefeh Bazazordeh	Early Researcher	3	NEUSEP	ESR5
			9	SDUP	
	Malwai Ngwira	Early Researcher	3	NEUSEP	ESR6
			9	SDUP	
	Samuel Wapwera	Early Researcher	3	NEUSEP	ESR7
			9	SDUP	
Total Months			68		

Seconded to be validate

AALTO	Nome	Typology of researcher	Months	Host Organization	Gantt rif.
	Prof. Crhister Bengs	Experienced researcher	4	NEUSEP	ER15
			2	SDUP	
	ArtoLindblom	Experienced researcher	2	NEUSEP	ER12
			0		
	Markku Norvasuo	Experienced researcher	3	SDUP	ER13
			0		
	Marketta Kytta	Experienced researcher	5	SDUP	ER14
			0		
	Maarit Kahiluoto	Early Stage Researcher	12	SDUP	ESR10
			0		
	Anna Broberg		12	SDUP	ESR11
			0		
	SirkkuWallin	Early Researcher	2	NEUSEP	ESR9
			10	SDUP	
	Kaisa Schmidt-Thomé		1	NEUSEP	ESR8
			11	SDUP	
Total Months			64		

Seconded to be validate

FOCUS	Nome	Typology of researcher	Months	Host Organization	Gantt rif.
	Prof. Manuela Ricci	Experienced researcher	1	NEUSEP	ER16
			1	SDUP	
	Prof. Bruno Monardo	Experienced researcher	1	NEUSEP	ER19
			3	SDUP	
	Prof. Pietro Valentino	Experienced researcher	3	NEUSEP	ER20
			1	SDUP	
	Prof. Anna Laura Palazzo	Experienced researcher	1	NEUSEP	ER17
			2	SDUP	
	Prof. Claudia Mattogno	Experienced researcher	1	NEUSEP	ER18
			2	SDUP	
	Prof. Carlo Magni	Experienced researcher	0		ER22
			2	SDUP	
	Prof. Daniela De Leo	Experienced researcher	1	NEUSEP	ER21
			1	SDUP	
	Dott.Valentina Bratelli	Early Stage Researcher	6	NEUSEP	ESR12
			6	SDUP	
	Dott. Enzo Falco	Early Stage Researcher	6	NEUSEP	ESR13

			6	SDUP	
	Dott. Alessia Ferretti	Early Stage Researcher	6	NEUSEP	ESR14
			6	SDUP	
	Dott. Enrica Polizzi	Early Stage Researcher	6	NEUSEP	ESR15
			6	SDUP	
Total Months			68		

Section 6. Road Map – Implementation of the project – WP1 Urban Management tool

Current phase First Workshop	Internal document	Deadline: 15 June 2012
--	--------------------------	----------------------------------

	11 June 2012	12 June 2012	13 June 2102	14 June 2012	15 June 2012
TIMETABLE OF FIRST MIDTERM MEETING	Presentation of case studies WP1 – Urban Management Tool	MAIN EVENT: Economic Development Strategies	Discussion on the organization of research activities of WP2 – Territorial Milieu	The international doctorate “Urban Regeneration and Economic Development”.	Organization of the midterm report WP1 – Urban Management
FIRST INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP	<p>The first international workshop is divided into three main sectors:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Research activities 2. Organizational activities 3. Administrative activities <p>Research activities: The overall purpose of the first international workshop is twofold: 1 to highlight the first results of the research activities developed in Boston within the WP1 In particular, the objective is to apply the general case studies methodology to investigate how the performance of PPP can vary toward the response of each case study to the variables supposed to explain how PPP works.</p> <p>Beside the settlement about how to develop WP1, the research activities focus also on how to define the first report with the contributions of all partners to the OPEN DAYS hold in Reggio. An hypothesis of main topics to define the structure of the report is:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. CLUDs and Local Economy (Dyer, Massimo, Parsa,) 6. CLUDs and institutional framework of governance (Monardo, 7. CLUDs and urban regeneration (Valentino, Bichard, Wassall,) 8. CLUDs and network systems (Russo, Caligiuri) <p>Organizational activities Secondments to San Diego State University (GANTT)</p> <p>Administrative activities From the administrative point of view, the first midterm meeting is supposed to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Decide about mixed adjustment for Aalto - Update partnership agreement due to mixed adjustment. 				
PARTICIPANTS:	Markku Norvasuo	Aalto (FI)	Jusy Calabrò	PAU – Reggio (IT)	
	Christer Bengs	Aalto (FI)	Carla Maione	PAU – Reggio (IT)	
	Claudia Mattogno	Focus- Roma (IT)	Francesco Bonsinetto	PAU – Reggio (IT)	
	Manuela Ricci	Focus- Roma (IT)	Luciano Zingali	PAU – Reggio (IT)	
	Pietro Valentino	Focus- Roma (IT)	Claudia Trillo	SOBE – Salford (UK)	
	Bruno Monardo	Focus- Roma (IT)	Alì Parsa	SOBE – Salford (UK)	
	Carlo Magni	Focus- Roma (IT)	Malawi Ngwira	SOBE – Salford (UK)	
	Anna Laura Palazzo	Focus- Roma (IT)	Alan Dyer	NEUSEP – Boston (MA)	
	Enrica Polizzi	Focus- Roma (IT)	Dave Norris	NEUSEP – Boston (MA)	
	Enzo Falco	Focus- Roma (IT)	Gregory Wassall	NEUSEP – Boston (MA)	

	Alessia Ferretti	Focus- Roma (IT)	Nico Calavita	SDUP – San Diego (CA)
	Carmelina Bevilacqua	PAU – Reggio (IT)	Eva Strubble	SDUP – San Diego (CA)
	Gabriella Esposio	PAU – Reggio (IT)	Maria Martinez-Cosio	SDUP – San Diego (CA)
	Pasquale Pizzimenti	PAU – Reggio (IT)	Colin Casey	SDUP – San Diego (CA)
11 JUNE 2012	<u>Case Studies Presentation</u> 12 case studied about Private Public Partnership performance in urban area: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - BID - Business Innovation Area - Main Street Community Development Corporation			
12 JUNE 2012	Main Event: First international workshop “Economic Development strategies, the role of PPP. Involvement of local stakeholders (Municipality and Calabria Region), presentation of the international Doctorate “Urban Regeneration and Economic Development”. Synthesis of the main findings of the first year in Boston Comparative analysis between European and US approaches about PPP in urban regeneration initiative.			
13-14 JUNE 2012	<u>WP2 “Territorial Milieu”</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Plan of secondment to San Diego - Objective of the WP2: explanatory frame. <u>WP2 discussion sessions</u> <u>Issues:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Connection with the WP1 2. Conceptualization of urban-rural linkages 3. Selection criteria of case studies in San Diego 4. Typologies of case studies 5. Work plan for case studied development 			

Section 6. Road Map – Implementation of the project – WP2 Territorial Milieu

Current phase Second Midterm Meeting	Internal document	Deadline: 27 August 2012
--	--------------------------	------------------------------------

TIMETABLE OF SECOND MIDTERM MEETING	22 August 2012	23 August 2012	27 August 2012
SECOND MIDTERM MEETING	A glance on urban planning system in San Diego	Presentation of methodological approach for WP2	Case study selection in San Diego
	<p>The second international workshop is divided into three main sectors:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Research activities 5. Organizational activities 6. Administrative activities <p>Research activities:</p> <p>The specific objective of the WP2 consists of “<i>Setting up an analytical process to understand how a territorial milieu can reinforce local urban regeneration initiatives</i>”. The research activities will be implemented in the San Diego area, through selected case studies. During the First workshop of the CLUDs project held in June in Reggio Calabria, it has been discusses a preliminary approach to be followed throughout the second WP. General consensus was on the fact that urban-rural linkages should be analyzed in a wider context, with a particular concern on the concept of bioregion. The methodological approach has been better defined in July and early August by the Salford unit, which is the European lead partner, together with the Aalto unit, of the WP2.</p> <p>The Salford unit started by developing a methodology based on the two different groups of case studies, that emerged from the discussion held in Reggio Calabria, more in depth:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Group 1: case studies with a strong intrinsic urban regeneration and PPP component, whose major interest for the WP2 goals is to investigate if and how the urban regeneration has been driven also by an urban-rural relationship; composed of the same typology of case studies than those selected for the WP1 in Boston, in order to investigate the urban rural connection; - Group 2: case studies with a strong intrinsic urban-rural component, whose major interest for the WP2 goals is to investigate if and how they determined impacts in terms of urban regeneration and PPP; composed of selected farmers markets in the San Diego area. <p>At this purpose, the Salford unit started to approach a pilot case study within the group 1, that is, the pilot case study of City Heights, and to prepare a preliminary study on the farmers markets in the US and in San Diego.</p> <p>Concerning the group 1, the unit readapted the tools (survey and interview forms) applied in Boston by adding the urban-rural element in the investigation of the regeneration cases. After having readapted the tools, the new versions have been tested by filling the new survey form in City Heights and by conducting 4 pilot interviews in City Heights. An in depth presentation of the tested version of the tools and of the pilot case study will be shared during the 27th meeting.</p> <p>Concerning the group 2, the unit started to prepare a preliminary study on the San Diego area and to analyze the relationship between farmers markets and urban regeneration through two cases studies that will be illustrated by Erick Bichard straightforward. The unit prepared also an interview form specific for the farmers markets case.</p> <p>The following findings have emerged from the testing phase:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the farmers markets in the San Diego region are several and can be found in many contexts in which urban regeneration happened; 2. the farmers markets can be considered as potential drivers for urban rural connection but they are useless if they are not related to the physical component; 3. some urban regeneration case studies showed a very low level of urban rural interaction also following a very preliminary analysis (i.e., Horton Plaza). <p>The Salford unit suggest to merge group 1 and group 2 by considering the farmers market component as potential driver for urban rural connection within urban regeneration cases, by using a single survey form for all the selected cases and by conducting interviews through 2 different forms, one for those involved in the urban regeneration initiatives, and another for those who are more directly involved in the farmers markets.</p>		

Organizational activities

Distribution of selected case studies in San Diego

Table 1: Potential Case Study, SDSU Faculty and Community Liaison

Topic Area	Tool/Mechanism	Proposed Case Study	San Diego Faculty Leaders	Community Liaison /Panel Discussants
Overview of Redevelopment in US	--	--	Colleen	Nancy Lytle
Planning & Redevelopment	Urban Agriculture	People’s Produce Project & Farmers Markets	Sherry	Diane Moss; Keryna Johnson
		Food Alliance /County HHS		Keith Pezzoli; Naomi Butler
		Local Urban Agriculture Ordinances	Sherry	Nancy Bragado
	Public-Private Partnership	Jacob’s Market Village	Maria/Nico	
		Horton Plaza	Nico	
		City Heights CDC - Price Center Urban Village	Maria/Nico	
	Art	Jacob’s Center	Eva	
		Groundwork San Diego	Eva	Leslie Reynolds
	Transit- Oriented Development (TOD)	Imperial Avenue Commercial Street Master Plan	Sherry	Karen Bucey
		Chollas Triangle	Sherry	Michael Printz
Universities		Maria		
Redevelopment & Funding	Business Improvement Districts (BID)	El Cajon BID	Colleen	
		Little Italy BID	Colleen	
		North Park BID		
	Enterprise Zones			

Administrative activities

From the administrative point of view, the first midterm meeting is supposed to:

- Decide about mixed adjustment for Aalto
- Requirement for the periodic report.

PARTICIPANTS:

Joan Gattas, Dean of the College of Professional Studies & Fine Arts, San Diego State University
 Stuart Henry, Director of the School of Public Affair

	<p>Sherry Ryan, Professor – Local coordinator CLUDs - SDSU Nico Calvita, Professor SDSU Roger Caves, Professor SDSU Mounah Abdel Samad, Professor SDSU Eva Strubble, Professor SDSU</p> <p>From the Reggio Calabria Unit: Carmelina Bevilacqua, Gabriella Esposito, Carla Maione, Jusy Calabrò, Pasquale Pizzimenti</p> <p>From the Salford unit Claudia Trillo, Erik Bichard, Samuel Wapwera, Malawi Ngwira, Kanadi Jagafa, Ali' Parsa</p> <p>From the Aalto unit Tuomo Sipilä</p>
<p>ADMINISTRATIVE ASPECTS</p>	<p>Concerning the first period of reporting, each unit provided the effective number of secondment in terms of No. of full-time equivalent months covered by secondment</p> <p>PAU – 50,73 SOBE – 22,71 FOCUS – 30 AALTO - 4,53</p>