

Urbanistica n. 123 January-April 2004

Distribution by www.planum.net

Fausto Curti

Collective spaces: shape and practices

	Problems, policies, and research
edited by Alessandro Balducci	The bottom-up production of urban public goods
Alessandro Balducci	Introduction
Francesca Cognetti, Paolo Cottino,	Milan. Another city
Gabriele Rabaiotti	I have increased in Miller and increasing a state and support
Paolo Fareri Giancarlo Paba	Urban innovation in Mila: policies, society and experts
Giaricano Paba Giovan Francesco Lanzara	Insurgent City. Topography of another Florence Defensive communities or laboratories for social innovation?
Patsy Healey	The institutionalisation of collective actor capacity
Michele Sernini	If practices, tasks and problems do not come together
Antonio Cappuccitti, Elio Piroddi	Morphogenesis of urban space: a research study
	Projects and implementation
edited by Paola Di Biagi, Elena	Trieste. Laboratory of policies, plans, and projects
Marchigiani, Alessandra Marin	
Paola Di Biagi	Trieste: a centre on the border
Pier Aldo Rovatti	Arriving in Trieste
Alessandra Marin	1991-2001: from the Local Plan to the Strategic Plan
Marina Cassin	New tools for the project of the consolidated city
Elena Marchigiani Ondina Barduzzi	The regeneration of the public city: the programme Habitat
Giacomo Borruso	The re-use of derelict port areas: the Old Port Trieste Futura, TriestExpo, Trieste-idea
Vittorio Torbianelli	The future of <i>finis terrae</i> : infrastructural scenarios
William Starc	The territory of the Province of Trieste in the new Europe
Windin Stare	The territory of the Frownee of thesic in the new Europe
Endering Office	Profiles and practices
Federico Oliva	The lonely path of the reformist town planning
Edoardo Zanchini	New environmental scenarios for changing territories
	Methods and tools
Maria Cerreta, Carmelo Maria Torre	Urban rehabilitation scenarios: complex evaluations as learning process
Andrea Arcidiacono	Reviews
	Received books



Milan. Another city

Francesca Cognetti, Paolo Cottino, Gabriele Rabaiotti

The question behind our interpretation of the city of Milan is associated with the role played by social actions which are not part of government practices in the process of producing the city and dealing with its problems.

This partial journey through Milan, this look at it, guided by the places, experiences and voices of what we have met, brings out an opaque layer of the city, that stratum that does not conform to the standard logic of urban consumerism and of accelerating change but which gives life to a plurality of life styles, projects and actions.

There is still a gap between these initiatives and the institutional city and the model that its action tends to create: it is a cross between the two different types that can be seen, the trajectories of a larger, more permeable and more thoughtful city.

De facto public policies

The initiatives succeed with their action in designing new structures, even without intending to. They contribute to a redefinition of the traits: they are de facto processes which introduce and/or support new relations in the community (and therefore make a mark on the geography of 'local' relations); they are initiatives which seem to be associated with a potential for innovation and effectiveness in dealing with public problems.

Geographies of 'local' relations

The geography of collective relations is defined by single initiatives rather than being a given starting point that directs its content. If the outline of the relationships is used as one of the ways or reordering the materials produced by the different experiences mapped as examples, two types of 'ties' can be considered:

- one first network may be interpreted as supporting a process of cultural identification. This network consists of the system of connections that holds together the experiences promoted and animated mainly by young people and is constructed starting from pre-existing interpersonal relationships between those who animate the different projects. Leoncavallo, Metropolix, the self managed Torchiera farm, Cantieri Isola and the Ciclofficina are part of an urban mechanism for diffusing information and tell a story of different ways in which young people can be active collectively in the city. This network is not interested so much in constituting a sort of unified urban movement as in rewarding specificities and in creating the character of its different parts. The construction of a tie between initiatives allows each of them to be recognised and to recognise each other. There is a glimpse of a micro-culture at work:

- a second network introduces the subject of local reconstruction. Through that initiatives act as local catalysts and trigger for processes that (re)define communities (a square, a block, an area, a neighbourhood). What makes them interesting is the type of challenge that they propose and sustain. They are networks that construct a position, an issue, a 'social argument': the Molise-Calvairate-Ponti tenants committees, the Villaggio Barona and the Parco Trotter are projects and processes that have constructed their own local context, from the starting

point of being present in a determined place.

Geography of policies

The potential for innovation is often associated with alternatives and often with completely new ways of acting that are brought into play to tackle public problems and collective questions. Many of these interventions were started as protest actions, accusations and demands. The battles for the right to housing conducted by the Molise Calvairate tenants committee or the ecological battles of Italia Nostra and the Diciannoverde were expressions that voiced feelings of protest as compared to traditional forms of public (non) intervention. The local context was a pretext for manifesting discontent and a more general dissent, to then raise the conflict to higher level. With time the action of pointing out the failings and limitations of the institutions

limitations of the institutions fuelled a desire to undertake demonstrative action directly in the field. The activists started to weigh up the idea of translating the initial feelings and orientations into projects.

More recent actions have been moving in this direction.

These forms of civic, social and community commitment are now showing an interest in dealing directly with some of the problems that affect the city. It is the result of very strong, almost obsessive, motivation not so much to achieve specific long term goals as to open up ways of dealing with questions that appear irresolvable. What seems to be a lack of strategy and rationality is in fact the key to the construction of the first answers. The capacity expressed by

many self-organised experiences to act appropriately and effectively in the identification and treatment of new needs as well as old problems should not be overlooked when rethinking public action in the government of cities. Is there any exchange of ideas and any overlap in the field of action of social practices and the area of educational policies? And if so, on what subjects and in which directions? From an operational viewpoint, a few processes that act from the 'bottom up' have found space for interaction, although not without difficulty, starting from openings and opportunities connected with 'top down' instruments. It is the case of the Molise-Calvairate-Ponti Committee which from a situation of open conflict with the institutions has found itself in recent years as one of the main actors in planning changes to the neighbourhood promoted by the public administration. This occurred firstly with the project Città Sane (healthy cities) and now with the neighbourhood contract. From the viewpoint of partnership instruments, a series of experiences have found the language and means of inserting themselves into the institutional circuits that address difficult problems and have become one of the internal parts of the public planning and administration/implementati on process. At times the relationship with these experiences tends to produce changes in the procedures that govern relations between the institutions and local actors. This is the case of the group Italia Nostra which saw its mandate to manage the Boscoincittà (woods in the city) project at the nearby Cave park extended, or of the Villaggio Barona where the broadening of functions compatible with standards was introduced

Urbanistica

for the first time to include social housing through the permanent change of the area to public use. From the viewpoint of local learning, it was found that the organising groups had gradually acquired the capacity to use participatory spaces and instruments usually reserved for the use of very selected groups and highly structured interests. The Cantieri Isola association for example participated, in the group directed by Giancarlo De Carlo, in the international competition for the Porta Volta public gardens. It intervened in the urban design of the Garibaldi-Repubblica area, the source of conflict between the association and the Municipality of Milan. Here again there is the use that many of these actors have made of 'community finance' provided by the Cariplo Foundation. These groups conduct dialogue with the municipal administration in different ways and some of them remain in open conflict. It occurs for different reasons. There are the 'radical' experiences that have no intention of retreating in their demonstration that the city can be interpreted and inhabited differently. These forms of resistance are explained more by the history of relations and politics that have set local groups against the public administration rather than in ideological differences. They lead more often than not to tension and in any case damage the chances of coming to even the slightest agreement. One example is the self managed Torchiera farm where the municipality has refused to show any interest in the 'collective' plan to refurbish and preserve the building, just as it ignored the application to connect the old farmhouse to the water mains.

Generally speaking we can

make an initial assessment which sees an attitude to make demands and put forward proposals that do not merely make demands but are the beginning of a new way of relating to institutional actors; which brings innovation and rethinking.

Listening and taking experimentation from the bottom up as material for defining a different frame of intervention and a different manner of public action does not represent a secondary, less powerful strategy. It points to a possible way of working, a way for public institutions to interpret their role in a plural and complex context. If the city is constructed in the fluctuating space between public sector intervention and the social action of individuals and groups, it is perhaps worthwhile opening up avenues of research and work that move more convincingly in this direction. Approaching this city is probably one of the first steps.

Bibliography

Acanfora M., "Tenere in piedi la baracca", *Terre di mezzo*, aprile 2000.

Balducci A. (ed.), *Cittadinanza attiva, pratiche sociale e la produzione della città pubblica. Una ricerca su Milano*, Dipartimento di architettura e pianificazione, Politecnico di Milano, december 2002.

Bifulco L., "Ambiguità delle recinzioni e identità collettive: la vicenda di piazza Vetra e la mobilitazione dei cittadini", in Procacci G., Salamone N. (eds.), *Mutamento sociale e identità. La sociologia di fronte alla contemporaneità*, Guerini, Milano, 1999.

Boeri S., Lanzani A., Marini E., *II territorio che cambia. Ambienti, paesaggi e immagini della regione milanese*, Abitare Segesta, Milano, 1993.

Caritas Ambrosiana, *La città scomposta. Dagli "ultimi della fila" una proposta per Milano*, atti del convegno, Milano 11/12/2000.

Cognetti F., Fareri P., "Vedere le differenze nelle strade. Milano, nuove forme di produzione della città", in Scotini M. (ed.), *Networking city. Pratiche artistiche e trasformazione urbana*, Artout-Maschietto, Firenze, 2003.

Cognetti F., Cottino P., "Tracce di comunità nella Milano liberista", in Sullo P. (ed.), *La democrazia* possibile. Il cantiere del nuovo minicipio e le nuove forme di partecipazione da Porto Alegre al Vecchio Continente, Intra Moenia, Napoli, 2002.

-, "Developers of a different city: New forms of community in *laisser faire* Milan", *City. Analysis of urban trends* vol. 7, n. 2, 2003.

Cognetti F., Cottino P., Lembi P., Membretti A., Vicari S., Terzo settore, politiche locali e riqualificazione urbana, Radici culturali e problemi aperti: riflessioni a partire dai casi di Milano e Napoli, research report.

Cognetti F., "In forma di evento. La città e il quartiere Isola tra temporaneità e progetto", *Territorio* n. 19, 2001.

Colombo E., Navarini G., Confini dentro la città. Antropologia della Stazione Centrale di Milano, Guerini, Milano, 1999.

Consorzio Aaster, C.S. Cox 18, C.S. Leoncavallo, Moroni P., *Centri sociali: geografie del desiderio*, Shake, Milano, 1996.

Cottino P., Spazi liminali nella città: territorialità impreviste, capacità senza titolo, tesi di laurea (rel. A. Tosi e A. Balducci), Politecnico di Milano, Facoltà di architettura, a.a. 1999-2000.

Cottino P., La città imprevista. Il dissenso *nell'uso dello spazio urbano*, Eleuthéra, Milano, 2003.

De Leonardis O., Mauri D., Rotelli F., *L'impresa sociale*, Anabasi, Milano, 1994.

Fareri P., "Dalla città dei grattacieli sdraiati a Milanolunga. Appunti sul ruolo dell'esperto nei processi progettuali, a partire dall'esperienza Inu Casa", in *Quartieri Milano. Un progetto di gruppo A12* + *Stephen Waddell*, Comune di Milano, Milano, 2002.

Lanzani A., Tamini L., *La periferia milanese*, Ricerca Cresme, 2003, in corso di pubblicazione.

Malcagni F., *Palomar,* jodok e altre storie di impresa sociale. Il progetto "open" negli ex O.P. di Milano e provincia, Angeli, Milano, 2001.

Membretti A., *Leoncavallo S.P.A.: spazio pubblico autogestito. Un percorso di cittadinanza attiva*, edizioni Leoncavallo, Milano, 2001.

Rabaiotti G., "Progetti nella città senza strumenti nelle istituzioni: un progetto alla Barona", *Territorio* n. 13, 2000.

- "Le politiche urbanistiche per la città di Milano, tracce e traiettorie urbane", *Territorio* n. 19, 2001.

Ricci G., Marras C., Radice M., *Milano alternativa. Frammenti di controcittà*, SugarCo, Milano, 1975. Sernini M., *Milano. Una forma di città*, Rubbettino,

Soveria Mannelli, 1998. Santaniello F., Santorio

A., Il quartiere Molise-Calvairate tra territorio e istituzioni. L'interazione tra soggetti locali e istituzioni come ambito di costruzione del progetto pubblico, tesi di laurea (rel. A. Balducci e G. Rabaiotti), Politecnico di Milano, a.a. 2001-02.