

Urbanistica n. 126 January-April 2005

Distribution by www.planum.net

Fausto Curti Out of place. Large projects lacking context

	Problems, policies, and research
edited by Carlo Gasparrini	Contemporary city and urban project in Italy
Carlo Gasparrini	Mysteries and professions of the urban project in Italy
Ariella Masboungi	The project production process in Europe
Pier Carlo Palermo	Strategic requirements of urban projects
Paolo Ceccarelli	Out-of-date town planning answers
Cesare Macchi Cassia	Meaning and methods in the contemporary urban project
Paolo Avarello	The hard work of constructing the urban project
Federico Oliva	Many projects in Milan but only a few of them are urban
Paolo Fareri	Urban project lacking city: notes from the case of Milan
Carlo Alberto Barbieri	General town planning scheme, strategic plan, and urban project in Turin
Patrizia Ingallina	French ideas for the Turin urban project
Maurizio Marcelloni	Strong government for the Roman urban project
Daniel Modigliani	Local government and experimentation in the urban project in Rome
_ao. moaigiain	
Patrizia Gabellini, Bertrando Bonfantini	Roads and practices: a research on Milan
	Projects and implementation
edited by Bruno Gabrielli, Roberto Bobbio	Genoa, a strategic plan for effectiveness and its results
Bruno Gabrielli	A strategy for being effective
Carola Gattorna	The governance of a city in action
Francesco Gastaldi	Genoa-Europe
Maurizio Cazzullo	The urban redevelopment of the Darsena area
Simona Gabrielli	A season between new architecture and recovery
Giorgio Gatti, Anna Maria Nicoletti	The rehabilitation of the historic center
Edda Ricagno	The routes of color
Emanuela Brignone Cattaneo	The reconquest of the waterfront
Federica Alcozer	The process of transformation of European city
Nicoletta Artuso	Strategies for the development of the port
Franca Balletti	The regeneration 'beyond the center': the complex programs
Roberto Bobbio	Towards new strategies for a city in transformation
	Profiles and practices
Giuseppe Campos Venuti	A strategy for territorial transformations balance
	Methods and tools
Peter Bosselmann	Authenticity, simulation and entitlement
Josep A. Acebillo	Barcelona progrès: a successful experiment in communicating town planni

Received books



Strategic requirements of urban projects Pier Carlo Palermo

In Urbanistica not many years ago Nuño Portas presented a wide ranging outline of international experience in which Italy was only referred to in passing. Compared to other contexts, Italy still lacked a clear cultural and administrative legitimacy for the principles and specific instruments used in 'area projects'. Notwithstanding the attempts at reform beginning in the early 1990s, in relation to the planning system, interpretations of 'operational town planning' were still sources of considerable uncertainty. Consequently, in Italy there are a multiplicity of initiatives, instruments, and sites of urban transformation that often make relevant changes to the territory's form and assets. After long phases of inertia, a reawakening of the desire and ability to act has become evident. But above all the legitimacy of these experiences seems to be more mature.

The case of Milan illustrates this. Here the ability to evaluate the conspicuously available urban spaces using an innovative strategy of renewal and redesign of the metropolitan structure is missing, thanks to the distribution of rare functions in the peripheral suburban areas. That is, a public strategy able to orient market forces is lacking. For some years now a second generation of area projects has been emerging whose contents and purposes are more useful. But these actions cannot really be said to form part of a shared vision of the metropolis' future providing efficacy, direction, and coherence to the individual events. These limitations may be thought of as being the result of weakness on behalf of Milanese town planning,

which some disciplines have always contested vigorously. However, the problems are more general and depend on the very nature of the urban project itself, which in my opinion requires several disciplinary revisions. I do not believe that indiscriminate adoption of the 'urban project' formula is suited to designing all the varied initiatives and instruments subtended on the new construction sites. An 'urban project" should meet several specific requirements. It should be a relevant transforming intervention with notable effects on the structure and the form of the built environment, requiring suitable actuation, construction, and management techniques and procedures and should be part of a strategic vision in a time scale that must not be the immediate. These requirements create problems and practices for which more traditional models cannot provide complete answers. In this sense, it seems that the urban project cannot be reduced to a project of urban architecture. The strategic dimensions are extremely relevant, the problems of 'assembling the project', the need to make progressive adaptations through time requires the ability to imagine the operation as a typical architectural planning problem. The project culture must not be formalistic, and even more so it must lack self-reference. Relationships with the context cannot be limited to the physical and formal dimensions. However, a more sophisticated project culture compared to the many current simplifications is not enough. The quality of urban projects depends on the demands and needs identified by a shared vision in a specific area. Above all, the quality of the urban project depends on the force and influence of the

'structural plans', which is a strategic operation. To make strategically important area projects feasible, it presupposes an understood agreed mature policy and secure conditions. If structural planning anticipates this complexity, it is more likely that urban projects will take place and be part of a coherent guiding vision contributing to quality transformations.