



**Urbanistica n. 134**  
September-December 2007

Distribution by [www.planum.net](http://www.planum.net)

---

*Paolo Avarello* Planning the risk

---

**Problems, policies, and research**

*edited by Irene Cremonini, Adriana Galderisi  
Adriana Galderisi, Scira Menoni  
Scira Menoni  
Catia Amadori, Irene Cremonini, Lucilla Sansavini  
Carlo Lazzari, Sandra Vecchiatti  
Massimo Olivieri  
Anna Arvanitaki  
Andrea Ceudech*

Seismic risk and urban planning process: towards the integration  
Risk, prevention and urban planning  
Vulnerability analysis in the historic centre of Salò  
The test on a town of average size: Forlì  
The experimentation in the historical centres of San Piero and Santa Sofia  
Urban vulnerability studies in Montone (Perugia)  
The historic centre of Nafplion: urban vulnerability assessment  
Systemic vulnerability and seismic risk in the historical town of Naples

---

**Projects and implementation**

*edited by Mirella Fiore, Marichela Sepe  
Francesco Domenico Moccia  
Amilcare Troiano  
Carlo Gasparrini  
Carlo Gasparrini  
Marichela Sepe  
  
Francesco Russo  
  
Manlio Ingrosso  
Mirella Fiore, Cinzia Panneri, Antonino Pardo, Paolo Sacco  
  
Clementina Chieffo  
Ettore Cinque, Andrea Mazzella  
Davide Geneletti, Alberto Pistocchi, Stefano Bagli  
Mirella Fiore  
  
Roberto Gambino  
Antonio Di Gennaro, Gaetano Di Pasquale, Leonardo Filesi  
Antonino Pardo, Paolo Sacco  
Cinzia Panneri*

Vesuvius: risk or development? Safeguard and integration of the resources  
A propulsive profile for the prevention and mitigation of natural risk  
The strategies of planning of the National Park of the Vesuvius  
Living with a volcano: the real risk lies in not having planning perspective  
Representing Vesuvian territory  
Decongestioning and revitalisation: the OSP as opportunity for sustainable development  
Procedure for the approval of the operative strategic plan (OSP) for the vesuvian area  
The OSP juridical requirements  
Awards to urbanism and prospective interventions. The two operative sides of the plan  
Local development support policies  
The OSP economic and financial approach  
The OSP strategic environmental assessment  
The plan of the Park of Vesuvius. The confrontation with a mutable and varied territory  
A national park in a metropolitan context  
On the analysis of environmental resources  
Role and contents of the strategic projects  
Landscape unit and structural systems. The regulative components of the plan

---

**Profiles and practices**

*Giovanni Allegretti, Daniela Anceschi  
Giovanni Allegretti, Francesca Rispoli  
  
Giovanni Caudo  
Giovanni Caudo  
  
Simonetta Armondi, Paola Briata*

The Structural plan for Dicomano 'bridging'  
Towards the participatory construction of a Regional law on participation  
  
Paper houses: the new housing question  
Houses at affordable prices: the evolution of social housing in Britain  
  
Evaluating territorial development projects, a modest unorthodox proposal

---

**Methods and tools**

*Graziella Tonon  
  
Luca Fondacci  
  
Umberto Janin Rivolin*

What's up-to-date in Cesare Chiodi's theories on city planning and what's not?  
  
The territorial responsibilities of Italian multiservice public utilities  
  
North-Western Platform: 'Sit-Ins' as tool for territorial governance

---

## Systemic vulnerability and seismic risk in the historical town of Naples

Andrea Ceudech

The consciousness of the multiple damages, not only physical, but even functional, economic, social and systemic, suffered by a city after an earthquake, both in the short and long term, is connected, in scientific literature, to the multidimensionality of the vulnerability concept, interpreted as propensity of the city to be damaged by a seismic event.

The systemic vulnerability concept has different definitions and is generally used to highlight the incapacity of the urban system to cope with the seismic event and is referred to the relationships among urban sub-systems, to the functional dependency of urban areas, to the incapacity of the city to supply the population hit by the earthquake with activities and services. Historical towns like Naples, characterized by dense and scarcely accessible urban fabrics with high population density, many relevant urban activities and high tourist flows, highlight such lacks of efficiency also due to low intensity earthquake. The comparison among case-studies of historical towns hit by earthquakes and the detailed back-analysis of the Naples' 1980 event, allowed us to characterize the systemic damage as lack of efficiency of the urban system due to a demand for activities and services of the hit population, which cannot be supplied by the city because of its spatial and functional features.

The demand shows a 'wave' temporal course with the peak-point within 12 hours from the earthquake and refers to the access of rescue teams to urban areas and to the access of population to safe open spaces and to the main

road network.

The method for systemic vulnerability assessment, developed by the Operative unit of the Dipist within the National research program *The safeguard of the historical, landscape and cultural heritage of the Italian seismic risk areas 2002-2004*, starts with the identification of territorial units homogeneous with respect to age, types and features of urban fabrics, which are drawn with respect to the census units boundaries.

The demand assessment is carried out taking into account the number of users both of residential and tertiary activities and of other relevant urban activities in each territorial unit. The supply assessment is carried out through indexes referred to the functional and spatial features of territorial units, such as the compactness of the urban fabric, the permeability of the road network, the accessibility of the rescue teams. Finally, the comparison between demand and supply allow us to single out 'critical' areas.

The systemic damage assessment requires the setting up of scenario techniques able to describe, in spatial and temporal terms, the chains of events and impacts due to a seismic event. For this aim, a scenario referred to three phases: the earthquake, the first emergency (24 hours) and the first week after the event was set up.

The losses of efficiency referred to the residential system, to the access of the population to safe open spaces and to emergency health-care activities, were developed in the Naples case-study through a night scenario referred to the building damage distribution of the 1980 earthquake. In the last phase of the work, planning strategies for risk mitigation, which could take place by steering the

requalification policies towards mitigation objectives and avoiding public additional expenses, were outlined.