

Urbanistica n. 134 September-December 2007 Distribution by www.planum.net

Paolo Avarello	Planning the risk
	Problems, policies, and research
edited by Irene Cremonini, Adriana Galderisi	Seismic risk and urban planning process: towards the integration
Adriana Galderisi, Scira Menoni	Risk, prevention and urban planning
Scira Menoni	Vulnerability analysis in the historic centre of Salò
Catia Amadori, Irene Cremonini, Lucilla Sansavini	The test on a town of average size: Forlì
Carlo Lazzari, Sandra Vecchietti Massimo Olivieri	The experimentation in the historical centres of San Piero and Santa Sofia Urban vulnerability studies in Montone (Perugia)
Anna Arvanitaki	The historic centre of Nafplion: urban vulnerability assessment
Andrea Ceudech	Systemic vulnerability and seismic risk in the historical town of Naples
	Projects and implementation
edited by Mirella Fiore, Marichela Sepe	Vesuvius: risk or development? Safeguard and integration of the resources
Francesco Domenico Moccia	A propulsive profile for the prevention and mitigation of natural risk
Amilcare Troiano	The strategies of planning of the National Park of the Vesuvius
Carlo Gasparrini	Living with a volcano: the real risk lies in not having planning perspective
Carlo Gasparrini Mariabala Sana	Representing Vesuvian territory
Marichela Sepe	Decongestioning and revitalisation: the OSP as opportunity for sustainable development
Francesco Russo	Procedure for the approval of the operative strategic plan (OSP)
	for the vesuvian area
Manlio Ingrosso	The OSP juridical requirements
Airella Fiore, Cinzia Panneri, Antonino Pardo, Paolo Sacco	Awards to urbanism and prospective interventions. The two operative sides of the plan
Clementina Chieffo	Local development support policies
Ettore Cinque, Andrea Mazzella	The OSP economic and financial approach
Davide Geneletti, Alberto Pistocchi, Stefano Bagli	The OSP strategic environmental assessment
Mirella Fiore	The plan of the Park of Vesuvius. The confrontation with a mutable and varied territory
Roberto Gambino	A national park in a metropolitan context
Antonio Di Gennaro, Gaetano Di Pasquale, Leonardo Filesi Antonino Pardo, Paolo Sacco	On the analysis of environmental resources Role and contents of the strategic projects
Cinzia Panneri	Landscape unit and structural systems. The regulative components of the pla
	Profiles and practices
Giovanni Allegretti, Daniela Anceschi	The Structural plan for Dicomano 'bridging'
Giovanni Allegretti, Francesca Rispoli	Towards the participatory construction of a Regional law on participation
Giovanni Caudo	Paper houses: the new housing question
Giovanni Caudo	Houses at affordable prices: the evolution of social housing in Britain
Simonetta Armondi, Paola Briata	Evaluating territorial development projects, a modest unorthodox proposal
	Methods and tools
Graziella Tonon	What's up-to-date in Cesare Chiodi's theories on city planning and what's no
Luca Fondacci	The territorial responsibilities of Italian multiservice public utilities
Umberto Janin Rivolin	North-Western Platform: 'Sit-Ins' as tool for territorial governance



Landscape unit and structural systems. The regulative components of the plan *Cinzia Panneri*

The Plan regulative function is aimed to protect those values that have motivated the Park institution, namely the sites and the resources and the institutionally protected landscapes, and to be protected with laws, bonds and prescriptions. The strict defense of the Park unit is compared, in this case, with the necessity to preserve the environmental continuity out of its perimeter and to accurately differentiate the kinds of care and to give value to the environmental cultural, economical and social specifications and of its various parts overcoming the limits of a territory discipline exclusively anchored to the traditional zoning for protection degrees and foreseen by the law 349/1991. For these reasons, the regulative component of the Park is divided into three levels products, with a different role of limitation/guide. The first one is the territorial framing of the Park, that is, the base of the construction of agreements between the Region, Park authorities and local authorities involved, with the purpose to agree on eventual measures for the ecological continuity preservation of Nature. The second is structural faming of the Park, that is, the selective picture of the strong points, acknowledgements less questionable of which each project choice must take into consideration. They are the great ecological, morphological, settlement and functional components of which the protection goes beyond the perimeter of the Park, assuring the necessary continuity between the protected area

and the context,

consequently defining from the administrative point of view, the geometry and dimension of the surrounding areas. The structural framing is organized in five systems: (1) Geo-morphological and hydro-geological; (2) Vegetational, agricultural and forestry; (3) Settlement History; (4) Access and Fruition, (5) Relations, further articulated in components, to which specific regulations of the Plan correspond. The third regulative level is that of the Conservative Zone which symbolizes an enrichment of the traditional zoning for levels and protection (zones A, B, C , D art. 12 l. 394/1991), articulated in Scenery Units.