



**Urbanistica n. 138**

January-April 2009

Distribution by [www.planum.net](http://www.planum.net)

*Pierluigi Properzi*

Plans, housing question and modernization of the country

*edited by Attilia Peano, Claudia Cassatella*

*Attilia Peano*

*Attilia Peano, Claudia Cassatella*

*Claudia Cassatella*

*Mauro Volpiano*

*Angioletta Voghera*

*Jordi Bellmut, Maria Goula*

*Gabriele Paolinelli, Antonella Valentini*

**Problems, policies, and research**

Atlases of the landscape in Europe

Atlases and landscapes

Methodological proposals for the management and promotion of Piedmont landscapes

Social perception of the landscape and the Atlases

The Atlas as a metaphor for the history of territory and landscape

The European context

Experiences on the landscape catalogues for Catalonia

Tuscany landscapes Atlas and spatial planning

*edited by Marichela Sepe*

*Riccardo Di Palma*

*Francesco Domenico Moccia*

*Roberto Gambino*

*Francesco Russo*

*Paolo Castelnovi*

*Alessandro Dal Piaz*

*Immacolata Apreda*

*Stefania Caiazza*

*Massimo Fagnano*

*Antonio Carbone, Michela Iamarino, Fabio Terribile*

*Maria Mautone, Maria Ronza*

*Vincenzo Russo*

*Angela Maria Digrandi*

*Mariarosa Albano, Clea Martone, Michele Russo,*

*Valeria Vanella*

*Enrico Gualini*

**Projects and implementation**

The Ptcp of Naples: the land and its fertile resources

Presentation

Dilemmas and obstacles in the management of objectives

A heritage to defend and exploit

The Ptcp of Naples: from the plan of 2003 to the new proposal of 2008

The landscape in the plan

Naples Ptcp directions

Settlements system

The assessment of territorial contexts and the landscape analysis

Agricultural landscapes in the Naples province: a heritage to be protected

A soil science to urban landscape planning: the case study of Naples and its surroundings

Cultural heritage, landscape and metropolitan system: is planning possible?

Sustainable mobility for Naples' metropolitan area

Statistical analysis in support of territorial planning

Gis for spatial co-ordination planning

Knowledge and action in the 'structural' interpretation and representation of territory

*edited by Paolo Pileri*

*Paolo Pileri*

*Stefan Siedentop*

*Erwin van der Krabben*

*Bernd Scholl*

*Keiron Hart*

*Sophie Schetke, Theo Kötter, Benedikt Frielinghaus,*

*Dietmar Weigt*

*Kjell Nilsson, Thomas Sick Nielsen, Stephan Pauleit*

*Paolo Pileri, Marta Maggi*

**Profiles and practices**

Consuming land, consuming the future

Four countries, six experiences, for a single issue: limiting urbanisation

Towards sustainable land use in Germany: reviewing the German experience

with antisprawl policies and tools

Urban containment strategies in the Netherlands

From an economical use of land to land use management strategies, tasks and

challenges in Switzerland

Land use and consumption in England: how is land use controlled and monitored?

How has land use changed?

Assessment of sustainable land use in Germany: the project Fin.30

Integrated European research on sustainable urban development and periurban

land use relationships

Interpretational figures and methods for knowledge and evaluation of land consumption:

the transition matrix

*Rosario Pavia*

**Methods and tools**

Zoning, zooning

*Michele Talia*

Reform of territorial governance and the new urban order

*Clovis Ultramari*

By the way, what urbanism really is?

## Naples Ptcp directions

*Alessandro Dal Piaz*

Provincial councils in Italy practice diffusely territorial planning only after the 142/90 law, with different experimental forms by different regional laws and technical, cultural and political local trends. In Campania the first complete regional law on planning is in force only from 2004 (a delay of 30 years) and contains several ambiguities. For example, confusion of structural and strategic contents expose to risk of feeble severity in values recognition to protect. Another equivocalness concerns town planning (Puc): in item 3, Puc consists of long time and short time distinct directions, in item 18 Puc gets indistinct directions; thus, to carry out Puc shall be very difficult, also because of urbanistic bonds forfeiture after 5 years. In 2007, at last, regional government issued law's fulfilment regulations which doesn't remove doubts, but impose several strange indicators which are totally inconsistent with urban and territorial planning. Naples Ptcp was drawn up in spite of these difficulties. It propose three basic choices: environment protection and rehabilitation as development policy; urban polycentric reorganization as settlement rehabilitation issue; intermodal and sustainable mobility as environmental and efficient policy. Naples Ptcp intends also to give directions (removing 16/04 regional law basic ambiguities) to town planning, which can specify and interpret provincial strategic choices. Naples Ptcp really distinguishes environment and landscape active protection and risk prevention and mitigation rules, which are compulsive, from strategic choices: Naples Ptcp directions are regulations, directly and

universally compulsive, or instructions, which bind town planning, or trends, which town plans may specify and complete some freely. So municipal councils may program in large autonomy, because Naples Ptcp conceives territorial governance as transcalar policy by subsidiarity principle.

Naples Ptcp confirms that Puc must distinguish long time and short time directions. Among former, fundamentally, Puc must distinguish preservation zones and transformable areas and give directions to ancient settlements, agrarian landscape and cultural, archaeological and ethnographic heritage sustainable exploitation. Among short time directions, which must be revised each 5 years, Puc must dimension ten-year settlement needs and regulate equalization procedures by compulsory immovable owners unions. Naples Ptcp directions decide too that: three-year programs select areas to urbanize and build; always owners and building contractors must pay primary urbanization and give public equipments grounds free; public equipments standard increases with reference to city users or tourists and in equalization procedures it increase of 10 ground m2 each 25 m2 of house utilizable surface or each 10 m2 office utilizable surface or each 50 m2 industry covering surface. Naples Ptcp in conclusion tries to be a turning-point of territorial management in a very problematic metropolitan area.